

Carlsbad 1819

Like another Chouannerie

Paris Peace Treaties (1947) and the civil rights revolution

September 8, 2018 by Nulle Terre Sans Seigneur

Underexplored area: role of foreign policy in the assembling of the civil rights therapeutic state.

When the Paris Peace Conference was being held between July and October 1946 by the Allied powers to discuss the reparations, territorial adjustments, etc. that the vanquished Italy, Hungary, Bulgaria, Romania and Finland would owe, pursuing the course of action suggested in the Potsdam Conference, the final treaties in 1947 all contained a clause along the lines of the following (here, the case of Hungary (<https://www.loc.gov/law/help/us-treaties/bevans/m-ust000004-0453.pdf>)):

Hungary shall take all measures necessary to secure to all persons under Hungarian jurisdiction, without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion, the enjoyment of human rights and of the fundamental freedoms, including freedom of expression, of press and publication, of religious worship, of political opinion and of public meeting.

In Hungary's specific case, there was a further stipulation to legal integration and not simply equality:

Hungary further undertakes that the laws in force in Hungary shall not, either in their content or in their application, discriminate or entail any discrimination between persons of Hungarian nationality on the ground of their race, sex, language or religion, whether in reference to their persons, property, business, professional or financial interests, status, political or civil rights or any other matter.

Clearly, such ideas were already established at the time. Moreover, there is likely no willful element of humiliation or a consciously cynical ploy.

Secretary of State James F. Byrnes, who was involved heavily in postwar reconstruction as a counsel to Truman, gave a radio address from Washington on Oct 18, 1946 discussing this. Byrnes served many positions in federal and state government, but as a South Carolinian Democrat and later on governor there, he was a racial conservative with a record for voting down anti-lynching bills — though he would go on to significantly moderate school segregation in his home state, and he despised the much more virulent agrarian populism of Ellison DuRant Smith. Nonetheless, this is not a person you'd expect to bloviate unreservedly about the brotherhood of man.

Nonetheless, in said address (<https://archive.org/stream/reportonparispeace00byrn#page/4/mode/2up>), we get the confident proclamation that “It is my earnest hope that Czechoslovakia and Hungary and Rumania and Hungary may find by common agreement somewhat similar solutions to their complicated nationality problems on the basis of working together as friends and as neighbors. *We in America know that people of many different races and stocks can live together in peace in the United States. They should be able to live together in peace in Europe.*” Moreover, that “it is our hope that in international democracy, as in national democracy, experience will prove that appeals to reason and good faith which unite people count for more in the long run than appeals to prejudice and passion which divide people.” All this is ended on a commitment to oppose privilege at home and abroad.

As it seems, the defiant postures of “cotton is king and white is supreme” quickly bend over at an opportunity to be part of the Fabian dining club. Even if initially you feel like you’re just going through the motions and you’re “in on the joke,” the joke will eventually get in on you.

This entry was posted in [Historical insights](#), [Liberalism](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#).

One thought on “Paris Peace Treaties (1947) and the civil rights revolution”

1. Lord says:

February 14, 2021 at 10:33 pm

Inalienable property solves every problem socialism ever took up (in good faith). It makes capitalism impossible.

There are solutions. And they have been tried.

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) August 14, 2020

Efficiency at what?

Capitalism is impressively productive, yes. But it has got to where the most powerful civilization in history is bankrupting itself on an illiterate tribal people waging 4GW against it.

Production is nothing in the face of a strong family structure.

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) August 14, 2020

Curwen Ares Rolinson @huntersrolinson · Feb 12, 2021



Replying to @huntersrolinson and @GhorAngirasa

but beyond all of that ... however (deuter-)canonical one holds the shastras that are law codes to be ... the shruti roots of the conceptry therein would surely make .. quite difficult to be a libertarian in any meaningful sense

Curwen Ares Rolinson

@huntersrolinson

i suspect that as applies this guy , it may be something like the Christian Libertarians one encounters from time to time.

The New Testament has *literal Word of God* around paying taxes, obeying law of state, etc. etc. -

4:05 PM · Feb 12, 2021



Reply



Share

[Read 1 reply](#)

Curwen Ares Rolinson @huntersrolinson · Feb 12, 2021



Replying to @GhorAngirasa

I have a swathe of theological conceptry for how neoliberalism is a literal demonic conspiracy

my observations of various economics people attempting to theology have done nothing to disabuse me of this notion

Curwen Ares Rolinson

@huntersrolinson

although quite seriously, I do not know how one could meaningfully be a libertarian in a Hindu context.

"Oh, you have this DevaRajya concept .. and it has Cosmic Law as its fundament ... and one must offer to the rulers thereof

SOUNDS OPPRESSIVE , MAN"

4:01 PM · Feb 12, 2021



2



Reply



Share

[Read 1 reply](#)

Nigel Carlsbad

@pompilivs



Third Positionists doing a good job confusing the youth with anachronistic left-right spectra. *Hegel*

youth with anachronistic left-right spectra, nega
of all people (nothing "right-wing" about the
Philosophy of Right), levelers like Filmer, and
mediocrities as Rocco and Yockey, antichrists like
Nietzsche. twitter.com/KeithWoodsYT/s...

6:56 AM · Feb 13, 2021



[Read the full conversation on Twitter](#)

♡ 17 💬 Reply ↗ Share


[Read 4 replies](#)

Tweetophon  @Tweetophon · Feb 13, 2021



If an "unchanging god" created temporal reality, it should still be
creating temporal reality. Not sustaining- creating. Because he hasn't
changed. Temporal structures, timed to the first second, should
repeatedly arise endlessly.

But that's ignoring the absurdity of "creation".



Bert

@bertcask1

This seems like the reason why we say Bhagavan doesn't
directly create material reality, but merely "glances" at it &
it unfolds. Material reality is directly the product of false
ego (Shiva & Maha Maya).

4:22 AM · Feb 13, 2021



♡ 1 💬 Reply ↗ Share

[Read 1 reply](#)

Apex 🌲 ☠️ @apex_simmmaps · Feb 12, 2021



Latest Substack: Why "Get Woke, Go Broke" is cope

1. Why corporations can do whatever they want/the Market doesn't punish them
2. Three reasons the cope comes about
3. How to escape this nonsense and pose a challenge to Woke Capital

apexsnotes.substack.com

"Get Woke, Go Broke" is Cope
Outcompete or Die

Spanish Sorel 🇪🇸

@SpanishSorel

"I come closer to believing that the Market [...] would look close to "communism"". You are not crazy and this is in part what Marx believed would happen (the material expansion of capitalism would lead to socialism). This is why I insist the right has to read Marx et al.

11:09 AM · Feb 12, 2021



Reply



Share

[Explore what's happening on Twitter](#)

Great article although I think we should ditch the moniker of populism altogether, In a democratic world the leader with the most votes can be called a populist. Most politicians who pander to their base are called populists.

— Happy Serf (@EU sceptic) February 12, 2021

Nicol Carlsbad @necarlsbad · Feb 12, 2021



Nigel Carlsbad @pompilivs · Feb 13, 2021

Replying to @pompilivs

Antoine Blanc de Saint-Bonnet

Pierre-Sebastien Laurentie

Jean-Joseph Gaume

Ferdinand Bechard

Antoine de Rivarol

Konstantin Leontiev

Lev Tikhomirov

Ivan Ilyin

Vladimir Meshchersky

Mikhail Katkov

Nigel Carlsbad

@pompilivs

And for good measure, here's 5 Italians who I will mention in a future post:

Giacinto de' Sivo

Monaldo Leopardi

Pietro Cosselli

Clemente Solaro della Margherita

Maurio Musci

6:56 AM · Feb 13, 2021



9



Reply



Share

[Read 1 reply](#)**Tweetophon** 

@Tweetophon



For humans, rebirth is preferable to heaven. Beyond the strong metaphysical objections, consider how heaven is incompatible with human experience & cheapens life:

Life involves unfulfilled ambitions - heaven renders any incomplete task an irredeemable and permanent failure.

11:08 PM · Feb 10, 2021



[Read the full conversation on Twitter](#)

15 Reply Share

[Read 4 replies](#)

I wrote a paper on how Marx's ideal and Smith's ideal would end up looking very similar in uni lol.

— Apex 🌲 📧 (@apex_simmaps) [February 12, 2021](#)

exactly,

usually (crypto/neo/post)marxists forget what anarchists have said about "mutual-aid" & cooperation. because for them, the whole thing is just competition between classes, which IS NOT TRUE.

— Bodenständigkeit als Landschaftsästhetik (@653auc) [February 9, 2021](#)

Yeah I mean you can't just dismiss Plato and there are many themes which should be picked up from him. Haven't read any Whitehead so I can't comment on that.

— Marcus Cunningham (@MarcusC31391111) [February 12, 2021](#)

yeah I'm one of those dickheads who likes Plotinus but doesn't like Plato.

— Marcus Cunningham (@MarcusC31391111) [February 12, 2021](#)

pretty sick, I was hesitant to put Plato as right because there are left wing themes there and I think platonism isn't very spiritual or influential on western esoteric traditions so much as European offshoots in hermeticism and emanationism.

— Marcus Cunningham (@MarcusC31391111) [February 12, 2021](#)

Nigel Carlsbad @pompilivs · Feb 13, 2021



Third Positionists doing a good job confusing the youth with anachronistic left-right spectra, *Hegel* of all people (nothing "right-wing" about the Philosophy of Right), levelers like Filmer, and mediocrities as Decca and Yeckow, anti-christs like Nietzsche

mediocrities as ROCCO and ROCKY, antichrists like NIETZSCHE.

twitter.com/KeithWoodsYT/s...

Nigel Carlsbad

@pompilivs

What the hell - I'll give you a list of my own. Most of them 19th century figures since that's when "the right" actually existed. All of them legitimists and counterrevolutionaries, because that's what "the right" is. 5 Germans, 5 Spaniards, 5 Frenchmen, 5 Russians.

6:56 AM · Feb 13, 2021



♡ 13 💬 Reply ↗ Share

[Read 2 replies](#)

Nigel Carlsbad @pompilivs · Feb 8, 2021



Replying to @Cal_Crucis

They learned it the hard way when starving Lancashire of cotton did nothing to help their cause.

Cal, CEO of Apostle Corp.

@Cal_Crucis

yea, bad time to rebel when you give your biggest buyer a 3 year cotton glut so they can then reseed cotton in Egypt & India. CSA was legit run by greedy oligarchic morons, had great generals though. If CSA had won, they would've ended up as a banana republic

4:52 PM · Feb 8, 2021



♡ 2 💬 Reply ↗ Share

[Explore what's happening on Twitter](#)

Nigel Carlsbad @pompilivs · Feb 8, 2021



Replying to @Cal_Crucis

Some of the best condemnations of capitalism came from Southern slaveowners.

Cal, CEO of Apostle Corp.

@Cal_Crucis

The irony is that southern planters were heavily invested in the worst kind of capitalism: UK's free-trade empire & financialization. Many historians take southern apologetics at face-value as if they were chevaliers w/ black serfs. Totally ridiculous

3:26 PM · Feb 8, 2021



Reply



Share

[Read 1 reply](#)

Demosphachtes

@demosphachtes



Modernity is a cult. And not the good sort, the one where a healthy community gets together to spill blood on an altar, but the wild-eyed Yahwist-descended atheistic modern kind. It is truly pitiable to see people use terms like "transphobia" one day and ridicule cults the next.

3:25 AM · Jan 26, 2021



4



Reply



Share

[Explore what's happening on Twitter](#)

Demosphachtes

@demosphachtes



Don't let the vile worshipers of chaos have the term "humanism". The concept of humanitas has nothing to do with modern atheistic filth. It is a necessarily elitist and inherently religious concept, and that is how it was understood in the Renaissance as well. Begone, atheist!

5:20 PM · Jan 27, 2021



5



Reply



Share

[Read 2 replies](#)


Demosphachtes

@demosphachtes



@aemospnacntes

Daily reminder than the man who coherently formulated the idea of progress in politics was a rationalist top-down elitist, not a bottom-up populist, who died persecuted by the Jacobins, meaning that the French Revolution was more based than online reactionaries like to think.



6:43 PM · Jan 31, 2021



2



Reply



Share

[Explore what's happening on Twitter](#)

I too cringe whenever I see self-described socialists defending the closest thing to large scale socialism that will ever exist, all 1.4 billion of them who live under it right now, that is indeed some real cringe

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) December 31, 2020

Nigel Carlsbad @pompilivs · Feb 13, 2021

Replying to @pompilivs



Karl Ludwig von Haller
 Karl Friedrich Vollgraff
 Carl Ernst Jarcke
 Romeo Maurenbrecher
 Franz Josef von Buß

Enrique Gil Robles
 Rafael de Velez
 Antonio Aparisi y Guijarro
 Jaime Balmes
 Manuel Polo y Peyrolón

Nigel Carlsbad
 @pompilivs

Antoine Blanc de Saint-Bonnet
 Pierre-Sebastien Laurentie
 Jean-Joseph Gaume
 Ferdinand Bechard
 Antoine de Rivarol

Konstantin Leontiev
 Lev Tikhomirov
 Ivan Ilyin
 Vladimir Meshchersky
 Mikhail Katkov

6:56 AM · Feb 13, 2021



♡ 10 💬 Reply ↗ Share

[Read 3 replies](#)

This is because Enlightenment is a resentful loser ideology. It aims to replace the periphery with the centre, whether politically, demographically, philosophically, or epistemically.

What more marginalized identity, what smaller minority, than the INDIVIDUAL?

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) November 14, 2020

The epistemic privileging of the abstract individual, reasoning from "self-evident", non-inherited principles he drummed up himself, is our inheritance from the Enlightenment. And the rigorous application of this Enlightenment ideology is just what has got us to where we are.

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) November 14, 2020

We don't have wokeism because we abandoned the Enlightenment. We have wokeism because we NEVER abandoned the Enlightenment.

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) November 14, 2020

Jeffery Tucker once said that Hegel created both fascism and socialism. This is as based as ol' JT gets. He was not altogether wrong.

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) October 6, 2020


Tweetophon 
@Tweetophon



It makes little sense to speak of goodness as defined by a divine mind or other thinking, deliberative god.

What would there be for deliberation? Whatever the choice, it's good by definition. Whether god changes its mind or not, it will be for the best.

So look elsewhere.



3:25 AM · Feb 14, 2021



 7  Reply  Share

[Explore what's happening on Twitter](#)

Property you can't sell. Certain key types of property stay in the family, whether you want them to or not.

— Imperium Press (@ImperiumPress) August 14, 2020

Christianity even before Constantine wasn't "anti-imperialist", the Christians prayed for the Emperors' conversion, but also successes. The pre-Christian unity of Rome was what enabled Christianity to flourish and such universalist state was always desired to be preserved

— ❄️🌱 **Mint Drinker** 🍷🌸 (@mint_drinker) February 10, 2021

Or, nationalism bad but patriotism good

— never heard of a 'death camp' (@incelsage) February 9, 2021

It's like how they pretend ethnicity isn't a synonym for race but means which basketball jersey you wear and is totally different.

— never heard of a 'death camp' (@incelsage) February 9, 2021

exactly,

usually (crypto/neo/post)marxists forget what anarchists have said about "mutual-aid" & cooperation. because for them, the whole thing is just competition between classes, which IS NOT TRUE.

— Bodenständigkeit als Landschaftsästhetik (@653auc) February 9, 2021

1. Evola
2. Filmer
3. Spengler
4. Hegel
5. Nietzsche
6. Aristotle
7. De Maistre
8. Coulanges
9. Heidegger
10. Homer
11. Schmitt
12. Burke
13. Carlyle
14. Gentile
15. Hamann
16. Husserl
17. Dennis Bouvard
18. Paul Gottfried
19. Guenon
20. Kierkegaard

— Marcus Cunningham (@MarcusC31391111) February 12, 2021

Pareto and Burnham are probably more explicitly on the right than Husserl but I'm not huge on elite theory personally.

— Marcus Cunningham (@MarcusC31391111) February 12, 2021

The right is something which I identify with, but it is one of many traditions I draw from. I'd roughly say that to be distinctly right wing is to fall under the reactionary label in some way. I like Deleuze but I couldn't really call him a figure of the right, for example.

— Marcus Cunningham (@MarcusC31391111) February 12, 2021

Schopenhauer isn't really that distinctly "right wing" Hamann is.

— Marcus Cunningham (@MarcusC31391111) February 12, 2021

And that's the last of any twitter postings for here. I wonder what you make of the listings of writers and words on Communism.

Reply.

Website Built with WordPress.com.